Petrosedum rupestre subsp. erectum

Ray Stephenson discusses this very rare relict taxon.

Petrosedum rupestre is very variable so much so that Linnaeus described it twice - as both Sedum rupestre and S. reflexum. Fröderström even considered S. rupestre and S. forsterianum to be one and the same species (though he accepted subspecific status). Chromosome numbers of 2n =56, 64, 85, 88, 96, 102, 112, 102-119, 140, 153, and 168 exist for Petrosedum rupestre subsp. rupestre. Linnaeus described it as being a species of NW Europe. Petrosedum rupestre subsp. erectum is reported by 't Hart (as late as 1992) as being just from the type locality near Trieste in Italy but also he noted that it occurs in adjacent Slovenia.

Hart's experiments showed artificial crosses of Petrosedum forsterianum and P. rupestre subsp. erectum to be a good match both phytotaxonomically and cytologically rupestre subsp. rupestre. for P. produced Although hybrids cultivation were semi-sterile with 2n =64, he was convinced that backcrossing could explain the common 2n = 112 and 88, the latter being fertile and flowering abundantly.

't Hart's conclusion:

P. forsterianum × P. rupestre subsp. erectum was the founding event for P. rupestre subsp. rupestre.

A probable scenario mooted by 't Hart suggests (see Figures 7 and 8) that as the climate cooled at the onset of the last Great Ice Age, Petrosedum forsterianum migrated south. species is a denizen of damp, shady banks and is fairly hardy. Petrosedum rupestre subsp. erectum on the other hand is not particularly hardy in a cool temperate area and appears to favour karst. It can be envisaged that with P. forsterianum migrating south and with P. rupestre subsp. erectum having little prospect of moving to warmer climes, that the once geographically separate species eventually became sympatric. 't Hart has shown that the species and their hybrid offspring are successful breeding. capable Perhaps this interchange of genes took place about 20 000 years ago. With the sheets. retreating of the ice

P. forsterianum would move to cooler climes, leaving behind P. rupestre subsp. erectum probably highly depleted by this time and a relict



Figure 7. Green = possible habitat of Petrosedum forsterianum, Pink = possible habitat of P. rupestre subsp. erectum (both) before the onset of the last Ice Age.



Figure 8 Possible extent of Ice Sheets during the peak of the last Ice Age in Europe

of a few restricted sites. The big difference would be that the new hybrid

of the two: *P. rupestre* subsp. *rupestre*, being far more tolerant than either parent, and with hybrid vigour, would not only follow the glaciers north but would also move into mainland Italy and even beyond to Sicily and become a more successful and more widespread taxon than either parent, especially in N Europe – though it would be unable to penetrate the Iberian peninsula due to the Pyrenees.

It is thought that Petrosedum moved forsterianum through Pyrenean passes during the onslaught of the Ice Age and is today relatively common in Portugal and W Spain. The present day distributions P. forsterianum and P. rupestre subsp. rupestre overlap considerably, but the latter can withstand more continental temperatures and is found as far E as Central Poland and N into the Swedish Baltic area

There has always been much confusion over the identity of the relict taxon *Petrosedum rupestre* subsp. *erectum*, after all, it wasn't until 1978 that 't Hart recognised it. With the eye it is impossible to differentiate between plants of *P. rupestre*, *P. montanum* or *P. ochroleucum* when not in flower. Even when in flower, it is very difficult to differentiate *P. rupestre* subsp. *erectum*, from *P. montanum*. 't Hart (1978) keys the differences as follows:

P. montanum —

sepals and bracts with glandular hairs.

P. rupestre subsp. erectum — glabrous sepals and bracts.

Both have upright facing inflorescences and yellow flowers – two very good characteristics separating them from close relatives, but these most obvious diagnostic features are shared.

In my collection I place newly acquired Petrosedum with members of the same taxa and regularly realise, when they first flower, they have been misidentified. Petrosedum rupestre subsp. erectum is the weakest and least hardy member of this genus for me with inflorescences very rarely appearing. When any plant flowers for the first time and I realise that my initial suggestion of identification is incorrect, I place an extra label in the pot, reminding me that when I update my records (usually in the winter) an error was made with the preliminary identification.

Five years ago I received a little unidentified cutting from Pauline Topham collected from a coastal cliff near Omišali, Krk, a Dalmatian isle. I placed the plant with Petrosedum ochroleucum, a common species. I remember thinking that this was an extremely low altitude for such a species but thought no more of it. The plant flowered vellow in 2009 and I added a label "P. montanum?" until I could check my notes. The winter of 2009-10 was exceptionally harsh and by the time I realised that this plant had to be P. rupestre subsp. erectum, and not P. montanum, it was no longer alive!



Figure 9. T = type locality of Petrosedum rupestre subsp. erectum, S of Auresina, Italy. K = N of Omišalj on the island of Krk. I = the peninsula of Istria.

of Petrosedum 't Hart knew rupestre subsp. erectum sites only at the type locality and neighbouring (and later also in the French Slovenia Alps Maritime). If P. rupestre subsp. erectum does grow on Krk and along Italian and Slovenian the coastlines, there is a very good chance it also grows in Istria. This was the main purpose of our trip there in September-October 2010. I will report our findings in a future Newsletter.

REFERENCES

Hart, H. 't. 1978. Biosystemantic studies in the Acre-group and the series Rupestria Berger of the genus Sedum L. (Crassulaceae). Drukkerij Elinkwijk BV – Utrecht.

Hart, H. 't., J.M. Sandbrink, I. Csikos, A. van Ooyen & J. van Brederode. 1993. The allopolyploid origin of *Sedum rupestre* subsp. *rupestre* (Crassulaceae). *Pl. Syst. Evol.* **184**: 195-206.